VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INST AND STATE UNIV BLACKSBURG --ETC F/6 12/1 ESTIMATING SIGNAL AND NOISE USING A RANDOM ARRAY.(U) JUL 81 M J HINICH N00014-75-C-9494 TR-22 NL AD-A102 652 UNCLASSIFIED AE | OF | AI 00850 END PATE FIENED 9-811 DTIC



# ESTIMATING SIGNAL AND NOISE USING A RANDOM ARRAY

Melvin J. Hinich July 1981

Abstract

This paper presents approximations for the rms error of the maximum likelihood estimator of the direction of a plane wave incident on a random array. The sensor locations are assumed to be realizations of independent, identically distributed random vectors. The second part of the paper presents an asymptotically unbiased estimator of the noise wavenumber spectrum from random array data.

A E 1 1 198:

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited Estimating Signal and Noise using a Random Array

Melvin J. Hinich\* Virginia Polytechnic Institute

TOTAL TA	graði Ab	000
	bution/	Codes
	Avail am Spinio	3/38

#### Introduction

Sonobuoy fields are used to detect submarines. Thorn, Booth, and Lockwood have proposed that the signals from randomly deployed sonobuoys be coherently combined to make acoustic measurements. They present the expected value and variance of the pattern function, and the distribution of the directivity index of a three-dimensional random array. In their model, the sensor locations are observed realizations of random variables that may be correlated and have different distributions. They define an array to be totally random if the sensor locations are realizations of independent, identically distributed random variables. Several stochastic properties of the sidelobe pattern of a totally random array are given by Steinberg. 2

The ratio of the peak sidelobe to the main lobe and the directivity index of an array system are measures of its ability to perform its tasks. The generic signal processing tasks of an array system are:

1) detecting and estimating parameters of coherent wave signals that impinge on the array; 2) resolving multiple wave signals; 3) estimating range, bearing, or velocity of a source that generates the detected signal; and 4) estimating the frequency-wavenumber spectrum of the ambient noise field. This description of system tasks emphasizes the statistical nature of the problem of measuring performance, especially for random arrays.

This paper presents approximations for the mean-square error of the maximum likelihood estimator of the bearing of a plane wave impinging on a random array from a distant source. The second part deals with estimating the ambient noise's wavenumber spectrum.

## 1. Random Planar Arrays

Consider a planar array of M sensors where the sensor locations  $\{(x_k,y_k)\}$  are realizations of independent, identically distributed random variables  $\{(X_k,Y_k)\}$ . Assume for simplicity that the signal is a single frequency plane wave plus stationary, zero mean, Gaussian noise. Let  $\theta_0$  denote the wave's direction of arrival with respect to the x axis. This angle is the source bearing if the medium is horizontally homogeneous. Let  $\omega_0$ ,  $\lambda_0$ , and A denote the wave's frequency, wavelength, and complex amplitude, respectively. The signal at the kth sensor is

$$s(t,x_k,y_k) = Aexp[i(\omega_0 t - \kappa_x x_k - \kappa_y y_k)] + \varepsilon(t,x_k,y_k), \qquad (1)$$

where  $\kappa_x = (2\pi/\lambda_0)\cos\theta_0$  and  $\kappa_y = (2\pi/\lambda_0)\sin\theta_0$  are the x and y components of is the wavenumber, and  $\varepsilon(t,x_k,y_k)$  is a realization of the noise field.

The correspondence between beamforming and frequency-wavenumber processing, and an approximation to the maximum likelihood (ML) estimator of  $\theta_0$  have been presented in a previous paper.  $^3$  If  $\rho \Sigma_{k=1}^M (x_k - \overline{x})^2$  and  $\rho \Sigma_{k=1}^M (y_k - \overline{y})^2$  are large, where  $\rho$  is the power signal-to-noise ratio in a narrow band about  $\omega_0$  and  $\overline{x} = M^{-1} \Sigma_{k=1}^M x_k$ , Levin<sup>4</sup> shows that the root

mean-square errors of the ML estimators of  $\kappa_{\chi}$  and  $\kappa_{\psi}$  are approximately

rmse 
$$\hat{\kappa}_{x} = \left[2\rho \sum_{k=1}^{M} (x_{k} - \overline{x})^{2}\right]$$

rmse  $\hat{\kappa}_{y} = \left[2\rho \sum_{k=1}^{M} (y_{k} - \overline{y})^{2}\right]$ .

(2)

Moreover, the covariance is  $E(\kappa_x - \kappa_x)(\kappa_y - \kappa_y) \simeq (2\rho \Sigma_{k=1}^M (x_k - \overline{x})(y_k - \overline{y}))^{-1}$ . These expected values are conditional on a realized array geometry, i.e. they are ex-post the deployment of the array.

To approximate these errors, assume that M is large. Since the sensors must lie in some closed and bounded set, the random variables  $(X_k,Y_k)$  are bounded. Thus the central limit theorem implies that  $M^{-1}\Sigma_{k=1}^M(x_k-\overline{x})^2=\sigma_x^2+O_p(M^{-1/2})$  and  $M^{-1}\Sigma_{k=1}^M(y_k-\overline{y})^2=\sigma_y^2+O_p(M^{-1/2})$ , where  $\sigma_x^2$  and  $\sigma_y^2$  are the variances of  $X_k$  and  $Y_k$  respectively, and  $O_p(M^{-1/2})$  means that for any  $\epsilon>0$ , there is a  $B_\epsilon>0$  such that the error is bounded by  $B_\epsilon M^{-1/2}$  with probability  $1-\epsilon$ . Thus the rms errors of  $\kappa_x$  and  $\kappa_y$  are approximately

rmse 
$$\hat{\kappa}_{x} \approx (2\rho M)^{-1/2} \sigma^{-1}_{x}$$

(3)

rmse  $\hat{\kappa}_{y} \approx (2\rho M)^{-1/2} \sigma^{-1}_{y}$ 

for large M. The estimators are approximately uncorrelated if the coordinate system is rotated to make the covariance  $\sigma_{xy} = 0$  after rotation.

The maximum likelihood estimator of the bearing is  $\hat{\theta}_0 = \tan^{-1}(\kappa_y/\kappa_x) \text{ radians.} \quad \text{The linear approximation of } \tan^{-1}(\kappa_y/\kappa_x) - \tan^{-1}(\kappa_y/\kappa_x) \text{ is}$ 

$$(1+\kappa_{y}^{2}\kappa_{x}^{-2})^{-1}[\kappa_{x}^{-1}(\kappa_{y}^{-1}\kappa_{y})-\kappa_{y}^{-2}\kappa_{x}^{-2}(\kappa_{x}^{-1}\kappa_{x})]. \tag{4}$$

Since  $\kappa_x$  and  $\kappa_y$  are approximately uncorrelated if  $\sigma_{xy} = 0$ , it follows from (3) and (4) that when  $\rho M \sigma_x^2$  and  $\rho M \sigma_y^2$  are large,

$$\hat{E(\theta_0 - \theta_0)^2} \approx (\lambda_0 / 2\pi)^2 (2\rho M)^{-1} (\sigma_x^{-2} \sin^2 \theta_0 + \sigma_y^{-2} \cos^2 \theta_0). \tag{5}$$

Thus if  $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma$ , then from (5)

$$rmse\theta_0 \simeq \lambda_0 (2\rho M)^{-1/2} (2\pi\sigma)^{-1} rads.$$
 (6)

For example, let  $\sigma/\lambda_0 = 12$ , M = 90, and  $\rho = 1/4(-6 \text{ dB})$ . Then from (6), rmse $\theta_0 = 0.11^\circ$  (1.98 x  $10^{-3}$  rads). If  $\sigma/\lambda_0 = 100$ , M = 40, and  $\rho = -10$  dB, then rmse $\theta_0 = 0.03^\circ$ .

Now suppose that  $X_k$  and  $Y_k$  are independent uniform variates whose range is (0,L), i.e. the sensors are uniformly distributed on the square  $\{0 \le x \le L, \ 0 \le y \le L\}$ . Then  $\sigma^2 = L^2/12$ . Let us compare the rmse $\theta_0$  of this random array with that of the square lattice array whose M=N<sup>2</sup> sensors are at the points  $\{(jd,ld):j,l=1,\ldots,N\}$ . If the length of the square's sides is L, then the sensor spacing is d = L/(N-1).

From (2), (4), and (5), we only have to compare  $M^{-1}\Sigma(x_k-\overline{x})^2 = M^{-1}\Sigma(y_k-\overline{y})^2$  with  $\sigma^2$ . Since

$$M^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{M} (x_k - \overline{x})^2 = M^{-1} d^2 N \sum_{j=1}^{N} (j - \overline{j})^2$$
(7)

= 
$$d^2(N-1)(N+1)/12 = \frac{L^2}{12} \frac{N+1}{N-1}$$

$$\approx L^2/12 = \sigma^2$$
,

expression (6) holds for the square lattice array. The approximate rmse of the maximum likelihood bearing estimator for a uniform random array on a square is equal to the approximate rmse $\theta_0$  for a uniformly spaced lattice array on the same square.

#### 2. Three-Dimensional Random Arrays

For a given coordinate system, let  $\underline{x}_k = (x_k, y_k, z_k)'$  denote the vector location of the kth sensor in a three-dimensional array. Let  $\theta_0$  denote the azimuth angle of propagation with respect to the x axis, and let  $\alpha_0$  denote the elevation angle with respect to the z axis. Thus the signal at the kth sensor is

$$s(t, \underline{x}_k) = Aexp[i(\omega_0 t - \underline{\kappa}' \underline{x}_k)] + \varepsilon(t, \underline{x}_k),$$

where  $\kappa' = (\kappa_x, \kappa_y, \kappa_z)$  is the vector of wavenumber components  $\kappa_x = (2\pi/\lambda_0)\cos\theta_0$ ,  $\kappa_y = (2\pi/\lambda_0)\sin\theta_0$ , and  $\kappa_z = (2\pi/\lambda_0)\cos\alpha_0$ .

The correspondence between beamforming and frequency-wavenumber processing holds in three dimensions. The ML estimators of the wavenumber components are the  $\kappa_x$ ,  $\kappa_y$ , and  $\kappa_z$  that maximize

$$|\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{k=1}^{M}s(t_j,x_k,y_k,z_k)exp[i(\underline{\kappa'}\underline{x}_k-\omega_0t_j)]|^2$$
(8)

where N is the number of simultaneous discrete-time observations of the M channels.<sup>5</sup> The rms errors of  $\kappa_x$  and  $\kappa_y$  are approximated by (2), and rmse $\kappa_z \simeq [2\rho \Sigma_{k=1}^M (z_k-\overline{z})^2]^{-1/2}$ . Once again, the ML estimator of the source bearing is  $\theta_0 = \tan^{-1}(\kappa_y/\kappa_x)$ , and thus (5) holds for a totally random three-dimensional array of M sensors.

#### 3. Estimating the Wavenumber Spectrum

Consider the problem of estimating the frequency-wavenumber spectrum of the ambient, zero mean, Gaussian noise field around a random array. Since an n-dimensional array is not much harder to analyze than a linear array, let  $\underline{x}_k = (x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kn})$ ' denote the vector position of the kth sensor with respect to a fixed coordinate system. Assume that the  $\underline{x}_k$  are realizations of independent random vectors  $\{\underline{x}_k = (x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kn})'\}$  that have a common continuous multivariate density  $f(\underline{x})$ . Rotate the coordinate system so that the covariance matrix of  $\underline{x}_k$  is diagonal, and for simplicity let  $\sigma_1^2 = \dots = \sigma_n^2 = \sigma^2$ , i.e.  $\sigma^2$  is the variance of each  $x_{k\ell}$  after rotation.

Let  $\varepsilon(t,\underline{x})$  be the noise at point  $\underline{x}$  at time t. If the noise field is stationary in t and  $\underline{x}$ , the covariance function  $c_{\varepsilon}(\tau,\underline{y}) = E\varepsilon(t+\tau,\underline{x}+\underline{y})\varepsilon(t,\underline{x})$  is independent of t and  $\underline{x}$ . The frequency-wavenumber spectrum is defined as

$$S_{\varepsilon}(\omega,\underline{\kappa}) = \int c_{\varepsilon}(\tau,\underline{y}) \exp[i(\underline{\kappa}'\underline{y} - \omega\tau)] d\underline{y}, \qquad (9)$$

assuming that  $c_{\varepsilon}$  is absolutely integrable. The power spectrum of the noise is  $S_{\varepsilon}(\omega_{*},0)$  .

Assuming that the channels are sampled at times  $t_j=j\Delta$  for  $j=0,\ldots,N-1$ , define the discrete Fourier transform  $\{\varepsilon(\underline{x}_k)=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\varepsilon(j\Delta)\exp(-i\omega j\Delta)\colon k=1,\ldots,M\}$ . If  $S_\varepsilon(\omega,0)$  is bandlimited at  $\pi/\Delta$ , then  $N^{-1}E|\varepsilon(\underline{x}_k)|^2\simeq \Delta^{-1}S_\varepsilon(\omega,0)$  for large  $N^{-6}$ . Let us work with the  $\varepsilon(\underline{x}_k)$  to obtain an estimator of  $S_\varepsilon(\underline{\kappa},\omega)$  for a given  $\omega$ , which will be denoted  $S_\varepsilon(\underline{\kappa})$  to simplify notation. The properties of the estimator depend on the following theorem.

Theorem. Define the n-dimensional Fourier transform,  $U(\underline{\kappa}) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \varepsilon(\underline{x}_k) \exp(i\underline{\kappa}'\underline{x}_k).$  Assume that  $D(\sigma) = \int f^2(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} = O(\sigma^{-n})$  and when  $\underline{\kappa} \neq 0$ ,  $|\phi(\underline{\kappa})| < \varepsilon \sigma^{-n}$  for some constant c, where  $\phi(\underline{\kappa}) = \operatorname{Eexp}(i\underline{\kappa}'\underline{x}_k)$  is the characteristic function of  $\underline{x}_k$ . These assumptions hold for the multivariate normal and uniform densities. Then

$$\lim_{M,\sigma\to\infty} (DM^2)^{-1} \mathbb{E} |U(\underline{\kappa})|^2 = S_{\varepsilon}(\underline{\kappa}),$$

and  $U(\underline{\kappa}_1)$  and  $U(\underline{\kappa}_2)$  are asymptotically uncorrelated for  $\underline{\kappa}_1 \neq \underline{\kappa}_2$ .

Proof: The array transfer function is  $R(\underline{\kappa}) = \Sigma_{k=1}^{M} \exp(i\underline{\kappa}'\underline{x}_{k})$ . For large M,  $M^{-1}R(\underline{\kappa}) = \phi(\underline{\kappa}) + O_{p}(M^{-1/2})$  by the central limit theorem. Thus

$$(DM^2)^{-1}R(\underline{\kappa}_1)R^*(\underline{\kappa}_2) = D^{-1}\phi(\underline{\kappa}_1)\phi^*(\underline{\kappa}_2) + O_p(M^{-1/2})$$
 (10)

(star denotes complex conjugate) since  $D^{-1}|\phi(\underline{\kappa})|=0$  (1) in the cross product by the above assumptions. Thus

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} (DM^2)^{-1} (2\pi)^{-n} \int |R(\underline{\kappa})|^2 d\underline{\kappa} = D^{-1} (2\pi)^{-n} \int |\phi(\underline{\kappa})|^2 d\underline{\kappa}$$
$$= D^{-1} \int f^2(x) dx = 1. \tag{11}$$

From (10),  $\lim_{M\to\infty} (DM^2)^{-1}|R(0)|^2 = D^{-1}|\phi(0)|^2 = D^{-1} = O(\sigma^n)$ . Thus (11) implies that as M and  $\sigma + \infty$ ,  $(DM^2)^{-1}|R(\underline{\kappa})|^2 + \delta(\underline{\kappa})$ , a Dirac delta function. If  $\underline{\kappa}_1 \neq \underline{\kappa}_2$ ,

$$(DM^{2})^{-1}R(\underline{\kappa_{1}})R^{*}(\underline{\kappa_{2}}) = O(\sigma^{-n}) + O_{p}(M^{-1/2}).$$
(12)

These limit results are used as follows:

$$E[U(\underline{\kappa}_1)U^*(\underline{\kappa}_2)] = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} c_{\varepsilon}(\underline{x}_j - \underline{x}_k) \exp[i(\underline{\kappa}_1'x_j - \underline{\kappa}_2'\underline{x}_k)]$$
 (13)

$$= (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \int_{S_{\varepsilon}} (\underline{v}) \exp[-i\underline{v}(\underline{x}_{j} - \underline{x}_{k})] \exp[i(\underline{\kappa}_{1}'\underline{x}_{j} - \underline{\kappa}_{2}'\underline{x}_{k})] d\underline{v}$$

from the inverse of (9). Gathering terms,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{U}(\underline{\kappa}_1)\mathbb{U}^*(\underline{\kappa}_2)] = (2\pi)^{-n} \mathbb{E}[\underline{\kappa}_1 - \underline{\mathbf{v}}] \mathbb{R}^*(\underline{\kappa}_2 - \underline{\mathbf{v}}) \mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon}(\underline{\mathbf{v}}) d\underline{\mathbf{v}}. \tag{14}$$

Thus from the above limits and (14),  $\lim_{M,\sigma\to\infty} (DM^2)^{-1} E |U(\underline{\kappa})|^2 = (2\pi)^{-n} \int \delta(\kappa - v) S_{\varepsilon}(v) dv = S_{\varepsilon}(\underline{\kappa}).$ 

If  $\underline{\kappa}_1 \neq \underline{\kappa}_2$ , then  $\lim_{M,\sigma \to \infty} (DM^2)^{-1} \mathbb{E}[U(\underline{\kappa}_1)U^*(\underline{\kappa}_2)] = 0$  from (12). Thus  $U(\underline{\kappa}_1)$  and  $U(\underline{\kappa}_2)$  are asymptotically uncorrelated. For finite M< $\sigma^{2n}$ , the correlation is  $O_p(M^{-1/2})$ .

This theorem provides a basis for estimating  $S_{\varepsilon}(\underline{\kappa})$ . One method is to divide the (time) sample into J segments of successive observations,  $N_J = N/J$ , and compute  $U(\underline{\kappa})$  for each segment. These  $U_j(\underline{\kappa})$ 's will be approximately uncorrelated if  $N_J$  is large. Thus from the theorem,  $\hat{S}_{\varepsilon}(\underline{\kappa}) = J^{-1}\Sigma_{j=1}^J(DM^2)^{-1}|U_j(\underline{\kappa})|^2 \simeq S_{\varepsilon}(\underline{\kappa})$  for large J, M, and  $\sigma$ . Since

 $U_{j}(\underline{\kappa})$  have a complex Gaussian distribution for each j (the noise is Gaussian),  $2(DM^{2})^{-1}|U_{j}(\underline{\kappa})|^{2}/S_{\epsilon}(\underline{\kappa})$  is approximately chi-squared with two degrees of freedom and thus the variance of  $S_{\epsilon}(\underline{\kappa})$  is approximately  $J^{-1}S_{\epsilon}^{2}(\underline{\kappa})$ .

### 4. A Planar Array Example

Continuing with the vector notation, suppose that the sensors are uniformly distributed on the square  $\{-L/2 < x_1 < L/2, -L/2 < x_2 < L/2\}$ . Thus  $f(\underline{x}) = 1/L^2$  for  $\underline{x}$  in the square,  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \sigma^2 = L^2/12$ , and  $D = \int f^2(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} = L^{-2}$ . The assumptions for the theorem hold since  $D = O(\sigma^{-2})$  and  $\phi(\underline{\kappa}) = 4(\kappa_1\kappa_2L^2)^{-1}\sin(\kappa_1L/2)\sin(\kappa_2L/2) = O(\sigma^{-2})$ . Thus  $(L/M)^2E|U(\underline{\kappa})|^2 \simeq S_{\varepsilon}(\underline{\kappa})$  for large M and L in this example. The estimator of  $S_{\varepsilon}(\underline{\kappa})$  is then  $(L/M)^2J^{-1}\Sigma_{j=1}^J|U_j(\underline{\kappa})|^2$  using the time segmentation method.

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research (Statistics and Probability Program) under contract.

#### Footnotes and References

- 1. J. V. Thorn, N. Booth, and J. C. Lockwood, "Random and Partially Random Acoustic Arrays," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 67, 1277-1285 (1980).
- 2. B. D. Steinberg, <u>Principles of Aperture and Array System Design</u> (Wiley, New York, 1976), Chap. 8.
- 3. M. J. Hinich, "Frequency-Wavenumber Array Processing," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 69, 732-737 (1980).
- 4. M. J. Levin, "Least-Squares Array Processing for Signals of Unknown Form," Radio Electron. Eng. 29, 213-222 (1965).
- 5. Maximizing (8) to obtain the ML estimator of κ follows from expressions (2.6) and (2.10) in M. J. Hinich and P. Shaman, "Parameter Estimation for an r-dimensional Plane Wave Observed with Additive Independent Gaussian Errors," Ann. Math. Statist. 43, 153-169 (1972).
- 6. D. Brillinger, <u>Time Series</u>, <u>Data Analysis</u> and <u>Theory</u> (Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1975), Sec. 4.4.
- 7. In practise the  $\underline{x}_k$  coordinates would be rounded to the nearest point on the n-dimensional grid  $\{\ell_1 d, \dots, \ell_n d\}$  where d is a space unit and the  $\ell_j$  are integers. If we set  $\varepsilon(\underline{x}_k) = 0$  if there is no sensor at  $\underline{x}_k$  on the grid, then the FFT algorithm can be used to compute  $U(\underline{\kappa})$ .

· Carried and

REPORT NUMBER  Technical Report 22  A TITLE (and substitie)  Estimating Signal and Noise using a Random Array,  Estimating Signal and Noise using a Random Array,  Melvin J Hinich  PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS / NOUTE ACTION TO MAKE A WORK UNIT NUMBERS / NOUTE ACTION TO MAKE A WORK UNIT NUMBERS / NOUTE AREA WORK UNIT NUMBERS / NOUTE AREA WORK UNIT NUMBERS / NR 042-315  11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS / NR 042-315  12. REPORT DATE / NR 042-315  13. MUNITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS / NR 042-315  14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSHI different from Controlling Office)  15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)  Unclassified  15. DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report)  16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report)  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation  16. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
Estimating Signal and Noise using a Random Array.  Estimating Signal and Noise using a Random Array.  Estimating Signal and Noise using a Random Array.  Author(s).  Melvin J Hinich  PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS  Melvin J Hinich  PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS  Melvin J Hinich  PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT. TAS AREA WOOK UNIT NUMBERS  NR 042-315  NR 042-315  Office of Naval Research Code 426  Statistics and Probability Program  Arlington, VA 22217  A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSHI different from Controlling Office)  Distribution of this document is unlimited  To Distribution of this document is unlimited  To DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report)  To DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
Estimating Signal and Noise using a Random Array.  Technical Report  Author(s)  Melvin J Hinich  PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS  Virginia Tech / Department of Economics V Blacksburg, VA 24061  NR 042-315  10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS AREA WORK UNIT NUMBER'S VIRginia Tech / NR 042-315  11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Code 4.26 Statistics and Probability Program Arlington, VA 22217  14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSHI different from Controlling Office)  Distribution of this document is unlimited  15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report)  16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report)  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Technical Report 22 ATD-A1026	54 (イ)
S. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER  Melvin J Hinich  9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS / Virginia Tach / NO0014-75-C-9494 \  10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT. TAS AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS  11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS OFFICE OR NAME AND ADDRESS OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESSHI different from Controlling Office)  12. REPORT DATE OF AREA OF NAME OF PAGES OF THE NAME O	4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF BEPORT & PERIOD COVER
Melvin J Hinich  PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS / NOOD14-75-C-9494 V  PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS / Virginia Teeth / Department of Economics V Blacksburg, VA 24061  11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Code 426  Statistics and Probability Program Arlington, VA 22217  14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSHI different from Controlling Office)  15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)  Unclassified  16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Distribution of this document is unlimited  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side it necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	Estimating Signal and Noise using a Random Array	Technical Report
Melvin J Hinich  9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Virginia Tach Virginia Tach Pepartment of Economics Blacksburg, VA 24061  11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Code 426 Statistics and Probability Program Arlington, VA 22217  14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSHI different from Controlling Office) Distribution of this document is unlimited  15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report)  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde If necessary and identify by block number) Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS / Virginia Tech / Department of Economics / Blacksburg, VA 24061  11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Code 436 Statistics and Probability Program Arlington, VA 22217  14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSHI different from Controlling Office)  15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Distribution of this document is unlimited  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, Il different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	AUTHOR(e)	8 CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Department of Economics  Blacksburg, VA 24061  11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Code 436 Statistics and Probability Program Arlington, VA 22217  14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME a ADDRESSHI different from Controlling Office)  15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified  16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution of this document is unlimited  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	Melvin J Hinich	N00014-75-C-9494 V
Department of Economics  Blacksburg, VA 24061  11. Controlling Office NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Code 436 Statistics and Probability Program Arlington, VA 22217  14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSHI dillerent from Controlling Office) Distribution of this document is unlimited  15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block number) Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS
Blacksburg, VA 24061  11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Code 436 Statistics and Probability Program Arlington, VA 22217  14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSHI dillerent from Controlling Office) Unclassified  15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified  16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution of this document is unlimited  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	, ,	
Office of Naval Research Code 436 Statistics and Probability Program Arlington, VA 22217  14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSHI dillerent from Controlling Office)  15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified  16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Distribution of this document is unlimited  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, If different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation		NR 042-315
Office of Naval Research Code 436 Statistics and Probability Program Arlington, VA 22217  14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSHI dillerent from Controlling Office)  15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution of this document is unlimited  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation		12. REPORT DATE
Arlington, VA 22217  14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSHI different from Controlling Office)  15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)  Unclassified  16. Distribution of this document is unlimited  17. Distribution STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	Office of Naval Research Code 436	July 81
15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified  15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report)  18. Supplementary notes  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	Statistics and Probability Program	113. NUMBER OF PAGES
Unclassified  15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE  16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Distribution of this document is unlimited  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identity by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Distribution of this document is unlimited  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSHI different from Controlling Office	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, il different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	onerassiried
Distribution of this document is unlimited  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	(12) + 0	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
Distribution of this document is unlimited  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, II different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and Identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	Is DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Broad)	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, il different from Report)  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	is. Distribution statement (of this Report)	
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	Distribution of this document is unlimited	
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	Distribution of this document is unlimited	
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	Distribution of this document is unlimited	
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	Distribution of this document is unlimited	
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response,  Bearing Estimation		from Report)
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation		from Report)
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation		from Report)
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation		from Report)
Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different	from Report)
Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different	from Report)
Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different	from Report)
Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spectrum, Array Response, Bearing Estimation	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different	from Report)
Bearing Estimation	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebatract entered in Block 20, if different  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num.	ber)
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num.  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spect	ber)
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number)	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num.  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spect	ber)
	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num.  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spect	ber)
	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block num.  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spect  Bearing Estimation	trum, Array Response,
	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block num.  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spect  Bearing Estimation	trum, Array Response,
	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num.  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spect  Bearing Estimation	trum, Array Response,
	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different  18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block num.  Random Array, Array Processing, Wavenumber Spect  Bearing Estimation	trum, Array Response,

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)